



2528-9705

Örgütsel Davranış Araştırmaları Dergisi
Journal Of Organizational Behavior Research
Cilt / Vol.: 3, Sayı / Is.: S2, Yıl/Year: 2018, Kod/ID: 81S2118



THE IMPACT OF ACCOUNTING INCENTIVES AND ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ON IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF PROFITS

Mehrdad GHANBARI^{1*}, Khadijeh FATHOLLAHI², Saber ZAMANI², Reza SHARIFI²

¹ Department of Accounting, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kermanshah, Iran,

² Department of Accounting, Ilam branch, Islamic Azad University, Ilam, Iran.

***Corresponding Author**

E-mail: mehrdadghanbary@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to investigate the effect of accounting incentives and accounting standards on the increase of earnings quality in companies admitted to the stock exchange. To achieve the research objectives by filtering 145 Selected company that during the period of 5-Year-old since 2018 until 2014 was examined. The purpose of this research is to consider the purpose of the applied research, in terms of the logic of research implementation, as inductive, in terms of the time of research, in terms of data type the research is quantitative and according to the method of research, descriptive - correlation research is. Results sign Gave That On Contrary Expectations Theoretical Standards Among International Report Do You Financial at Comparison with Quality Level Profit At Faces Financial based on Principles accounting Accepted Have been General At Enterprises Related to Average the effect Meaningful On Quality Profit does not have Or Even It's Take Decrease Gives. Though This Result On Contrary Expectation Commonplace Is but at Researches Experimental Previous at Market Fund Also Seen Have been is. plus, On This We found That Difference Quality Profit On Basis Incentives Report at Principles Accounting Accepted Have Been General and Graduates Among International Report Do You Financial See Gets.

Keywords: Encourage Reporting, Accounting Standards, Earnings Quality.

INTRODUCTION

The effect Standards accounting on quality profit at researches accounting lately to Severity case attention Put taken is. With existence this, quality profit likely only from the way standards accounting determination not to be because accounting Standards they cannot level the details case need at to earn and work take coverage to give at action from innovations I'm back as, and perform they are usually to verdict need has it. At result, at environments accounting identical accounting companies similar they can from items caution for report Profit Financial to people use they do and this profits can in terms of quality very different to be.

Standards among international report do you financial to in a way average no the effect meaningful quality profit has it and at comparison with "principles accounting accepted have been general germany" even quality profit take decrease to give. Plus, on this, we found that incentives report at " principles accounting accepted have been general germany " and " standards among international report do you financial " on quality profit the effect you can to make. From all more importantly sign you can give it that quality profit at report " standards among international report do you financial " at comparison with " principles accounting accepted have been general Germany " fewer under the effect encouragement S report do you

Put has it and at result " standards among international report do you financial " Likely cause you can to be quality profit at between firm the homogenous more is (Mahmoudi et al., 2017). Researches previous take from three aspect development you can give it. First this that discussions related to how to influence encouragement S report do you on quality profit take expansion you can give it. Research S previous sign you can to give that difference S Organizational between the countries on quality report do you the effect you can put up. But on contrary most studies previous, Sample Firm S case use we under the framework and regulation organizational totally similar y are. So You can we can at level firm check do that is encouragement S report do you on quality profit the effect you can put up or no. Second this that at this article a discussion presentation you can to be that sign you can to give you can Power from time the reception standards accounting new to title successor difference encouragement S report do you use made that likely cause creation difference at quality profit you can to be. From where are you that to the term more from one decade the reception " Standards among International report do you financial " at many from countries member optional been is a method that to researcher's others countries member (EU) the union Europe The union Europe possible you can to give until the encouragement S report do you take also arrived analysis S quality profit yourself they do. Third this that for proof the effect encouragement S report do you on two Criterion selected quality profit and to Purpose Comparison this encouragement opinion the effect caused from yourself standards accounting, from peripheral special use you can do.

BACKGROUND

Internal research

Bolo et al. (2018) studied the pattern in their study approach accountants and auditors to standards accounting and the effect It's on quality information accounting. Results check reviews gave that accountants from approach deep can be attention rewarded, blessed, profited by are not and auditors Has approach are deep among depth approach accountants and auditors difference meaningful Existence has it and the look and auditor's approach Ratio to accountants, deeper Is. Also, approach deep accountants and auditors Boosts Quality Information Accounting Is.

Mahmoudi et al (2017) study the effect of encouraging reporting and accounting standards on the quality of their profits. The results showed that reporting based on international financial reporting standards potentially reduces the average of earnings quality; at the same time, the effect of reporting incentives on the quality of earnings in financial statements appears to be based on generally accepted accounting principles of Germany More than its impact on forms based on international financial reporting standards. For this reason, international financial reporting standards can create a more inclusive earnings quality among firms.

Nazemi et al. (2016) investigated the impact of revised accounting standards on earnings management and earnings quality. Results Research sign the donor This Issue you can be that at year by so from renew opinion at Standards accounting No 16 and 20, Management profit increase and quality profit change not have is.

Foreign research



Sanyalv et al (2017) study the adopted standards among international reporting financial and revenues the result from the paid banker. Results sign gave ifrs no single ability decision making shareholders wisdom take increase data, the trust fund the owners to fund placing direct foreign take also to the way eye making top you can win.

Vautrin et al (2012) study the effect of encouraging reporting and accounting standards on the quality of their profits. the results showed that Reporting based IFRS Potentially reduces the average of earnings quality; at the same time, the effect of reporting incentives on earnings quality in financial statements GGAAP More than its effect on face-to-face IFRS Is. for this reason, IFRS It can create a more homogenous profitability among firms.

Barte et al. (2008) in their study examined the characteristics of accounting records for companies that have international standards. Feature in this article by digits accounting take to company hi that standards among international take work you can brand, at comparison with company hi that this standard take work do not brand and relying to standards internal are, comparison they made. So kelly results they are improvement at quality accounting related to employment standards among international take sign he (Mahmoud et al., 2016).

THEORETICAL

Incentives for reporting

Most recently the effect incentives report on quality profit to severity case attention put taken is, from sentence studies that Burgstaler et al. (2006). From differences organizational at factors like the system legal, laws security, economy political and perform standards accounting at between the countries to title successor incentive reporting group certain from companies use have that standards accounting selected they are the same or similar is. With comparison enterprises private and governmental at countries different member the union europe pressure market fund take in analysis yourself at opinion they got. They are they believe that pressure market fund quality profit enterprises joint stock general take increase because companies that quality profit the bottom have or from bye market fund penalty have became or at the length process remove government by (Mahmoud et al., 2016).

Accounting standards

Nelson (2003) and Schipper (2003) argue That Standards Accounting Must More based on principles. Nelson use from rules take at editing standards accounting reject do not slowly and believed there are standards accounting you can until the Partly based on rules to be because rules you can precision establishing communication between Standardizers and needs respective take Increase to give and Causing decrease and even from among to go procedure Reporting Unfair to be Any How many that use from rules you can Complexity by Excessive at financial reporting take to Along Have be.

With attention to check by the face taken to opinion you can come cause use from rules, a lack of Principles or use of the principles Inappropriate is. A lack of Principles Appropriate, leads to editing Standards based on method by optional to be that this order ability Comparison information accounting take decrease you can to give. Any how many that editing Standards based on principles appropriate do not Can Leads to editing Standards to be that lacking any kind Method by Optional. Some they believe Cause Scandal by Commercial lately, Editing Standards accounting America according to rules are. Standards based on rules Ratio to Standards based on principles, long More and Complicated More Are (Bo Lu et al., 2018)



Quality Profit

Quality reporting financial from viewpoint some researchers has definitions numerous is and kind of define to viewpoint man depends has it. Quality reporting financial from viewpoint penman picked up from profit information the period current company the before nose profits future you can be. He believed is investors from profit the period previous company the use you can they do until the profit the period future take before nose to make. He believed is definition reporting financial very kelly and obscure is and must it's take from sight individual see that it's take definition you can slowly. To phrase other quality profit conceptual relative is and to viewpoint and attitude by persons depends (The moderator and et al., 2016).

Two property for determination quality Profit: a: Usefulness Decision B: Relationship among This Concept and Profit Economy

From viewpoint hick's quality profit phrase is from expression honestly profit report have been is. Quality profit top sign the donor helpful to be information profit for decision sticking use the visitors and also conformity more it's with profit economic hicks is. Some analysis expensive financial quality profit takes to title profit normal and continuous repeatable and creation the current cash the result of operations you can know they are they believe that quality profit. Digit among profit pure report have been and current cash the result from the operation minus digits non repetitive you can be. Management profit to meanings use from accounting optional for influence on faces financial is to so that output reported with function economic company consistency not have be.

Hypothesis

Incentives to report on accounting standards have a significant impact on improving the quality of earnings.

RESEARCH METHOD

Objectives: This research is in terms of its purpose Is. Research applied research there are some theories legitimate Principles and techniques in the research Basic compilation to solve actual and actual problems it works fall.

- In terms of data type: This research type A little bit is.
- In terms of time: This research is temporally Cross-sectional. That is, all the necessary information is related to time to the face is continuous Are. Time to sample in this study, from 2011 to 2015 (five years) the Is.
- In terms of the logic of implementation: this research into Induction is. It means from component to whole is.
- The type of relationship between the variables in this study, the relationship between the variables the form is correlated is. In solidarity research, the main goal is to be identified

Method and tool for collecting information:

In this research, the collection of information on literature and research background from library method and also from Persian and Latin specialized books and magazines Gather information to confirm or reject the hypothesis the field research has been used.



Information analysis method

To analyze the data obtained from the research, the method Statistical analysis of correlation analysis was used to determine the type and extent of the relationship between variables and the regression analysis to determine the effect of independent variables on dependent variables and to examine the assumptions, the confidence level of the test was 95 % and the significance level you have 5 %. If the statistic is less than the level of meaning you should assume that there is no correlation between independent and dependent variables and the assumption of the relationship of meaning you are accepted between variables Be.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

Correlation matrix of research variables by Pearson method the correlation matrix of the research variables used by the Pearson method for data Large numbers and normal distribution are used Come, come. Table (1) shows the correlation between different variables by Pearson and Spearman methods A.

Table 1: Pearson Correlation Matrix Coefficients

	IC	IR	SA	Qb	SIZE
IC	000000/1	000121/0	098455/0	005799 / 0-	052217/0
IR	0025/0	000000/1	336688/0	004120 / 0-	0494796/0
SA	083344/0	455908/0	000000/1	028099/0	0228809/0
Qb	005799 / 0-	0 / 004120-	224409/0	000000/1	011815/0
SIZE	052217/0	494796/0	450567/0	011815/0	000000/1

In the table above, the correlation value between each pair of variables is estimated using the Pearson method, and below is the probability value Obtained using test t for the significance of this correlation It is achieved. For each pair of variables this probability is the result is less than 0.05, the correlation between the two variables is significant at 95% confidence level and so whether the probability is less than 0.01, the correlation between the two variables is also significant at the 99% confidence level. Due to these cases and the mark to Obtained for correlation Significant power and direction of correlation between research variables face two to two.

Hypothesis test Research

Same as previously mentioned, the main hypothesis of the research is to it is as follows:

$$IR_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 IC + \beta_2 SA_{it} + \beta_3 Qb_{it} + \beta_4 SIZE_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

In the above model the coefficient β the relationship between independent variables and dependent variable A. So whether this coefficient is significant at 95% confidence level, the main hypothesis will be accepted.

Following is a subject In order to perform regression and test the hypothesis using the above model, it is necessary first to determine the appropriate regression model. So First, by proving the effects of the time interval and regression period are run and then the test F Generalized doing that results to the following is:

To perform the regression and test the hypothesis using the above model, first we need to determine the appropriate regression model. For this purpose, first, by validating the effects of



the section and the regression period, then the test is performed F Generalization Find out whose results are as follows:

Table 2: Test F Generalization Finding hypothesis Research

Test F Generalization Found		
Test effects	Amount	Likelihood of statistics
The statistics F For cross section	450089/32	0/0000
The statistics F For the time period	225406/30	0/0 000

Given the possibilities to obtained for the time period and the period of time that is less than 05/0 Be assuming zero test F Generalization Findings (Limer) are rejected and so the pattern without effects (half of it) Both for the period and for the time period are rejected Be. Now one must be selected from the fixed or random effect pattern of one; hence, it goes on It should be done by randomizing the effects of the section and the regression period, and then the Hausman test. After carrying out the Hausman test, an error message based on the zeroness of the variance estimating the random effects of the period See which indicates that there is no accidental effects of the time period Is. This is also due to two other errors Included in the software is the Hassan test results invalid Is. So it goes on It should be done only by randomization of the effects of the regression section and the Hausman test, the results of which are as follows:

Table 3: Hausman's hypothesis test main

Hausman test		
Test effects	The amount of the Chi - 2 statistic	Likelihood of statistics
Randomness for the section	112/ 668055	0/0000

Given the zero assumption of Hausman's test of the randomness of the effects, as well as the probability of Obtained for the Chi - 2 statistic in the Hausman test, the zero assumption is accepted And therefore the correct regression pattern of the effect pattern is fixed Is. Therefore, the final results of the regression are followed by using the fixed effect pattern for the period and the time period.

Table 4: Combined regression hypothesis results Main research

IR = -344587 + 0/1755 IC + 54/056 SA + 2155/34 Qb _{it} + 19338/3 SIZE _{it}				
Results of regression Least squares panel method				
Dependent variable : Performance based QTobin , Number of courses : 5 , number of sections : 145 , total number of panel observations : 725				
Variable	Coefficient	Standard deviation	The statistics t	Possibility
Incentives for reporting	0/175505	0/045344	8705/0	0/50
accounting standards	54/0566	65/08870	0/8035	0/43
Quality of benefit	34/2155	41/577	3/7327	0/00
size of the company	3/19338	65/10536	1/8353	0/0015
Constant factor	344587-	4/125564	2/ 7443-	0/0 366
The coefficient of determination justified	409130/0	Watson Camera Statistics		254/2
The statistics F	47764/19	Likelihood of statistics F		0 /0 5 0 1 0
The statistics Godfrey	0/248	Likelihood of statistics Godfrey		0/781
The statistics F-white	5/ 181	Likelihood of statistics F-white		0/087
The statistics F-limer	1/122	Likelihood of statistics F-limer		0/339



Same the species shown in the table above is likely to be obtained for the statistics F less than 0.05, so you can test the null hypothesis is rejected. Fischer's regression model is not significant and therefore it can be said that at a confidence level of 95%, regression is significant.

Now considering the coefficients to the result is the result of regression let's examine the hypothesis Payment:

Given the results of the above table, the coefficient to be obtained for reporting incentives. No relationship between these two variables with variable accounting standards and earnings quality of the probability (0.00) and the zero test case t to examine the significance of the regression coefficients. It's a slave. It should be noted that the desired coefficient is significant. In this research, this assumption confirms and assumes H1. Rejected the incentives to report not only does not benefit the quality of accounting standards and yes even reduce them as well. Due to this which is a probability to for the coefficient of this variable that is greater than 0.05, the assumption is zero test t Confirmation. It turns out.

CONCLUSION

Results sign gave that on Contrary expectations theoretical Standards among International Report do you Financial at Comparison with quality level profit at Faces financial based on Principles accounting Accepted Have been general at Enterprises Related to average the effect Meaningful On quality Profit does not have or Even It's take decrease Gives. Though This Result on Contrary Expectation Commonplace Is but at Researches Experimental Previous at Market Fund also Seen have been is. Plus, on This We found that difference quality Profit on Basis Incentives report At Principles accounting accepted have been General and Graduates among International Report do you Financial See gets. But from It's More importantly data We sign Gave That quality of benefit at Faces based on " Standards Among International Report do you Financial " at Comparison With Faces " accounting principles Accepted Have been General fewer Under the effect Incentives report are At Result " Standards international Report Do you Financial " Can Level do not smoke From quality Profit Take At Between Firms Creation Slowly. At Finally Our results sign Gave that researchers they can from Time the reception "Standards among International Report Do you Financial" to Substitute title Incentives report One Company Use They do.



References

- Bowo, Qassim. Sensitive One, Yahya. Rahnama Roodashhty, Fereydoun and Shahr Mahali Shahram (2018), pattern Approach Accountants and Auditors to Standards accounting and the effect It's On Quality Information Accounting, Financial Accounting and Audit Research, Ninth Year, No. 33, pp. 125-148.
- Christoph Watrin, Robert Ullmann, (2012), Improving earnings quality: Advances in accounting with Advances in International Accounting 28 (2012), pp: 179-188.
- Mahmoudi, Masoud, Ebrahim Nouri and Mehdi Alfaraei (2017), The Effect of Accounting Incentives and Accounting Standards on Increasing the Quality of Profit, New Quarterly Journal of Management and Accounting (14).

Nazemi, Amin. Darwish Farsh, Leila and Islamzadeh Seyyed Majid (2016), Effect on the standards Accounting Renew Opinion Have been On Management Profit And quality Profit, Audit Knowledge, Year 15, No. 61.

Nelson, M. W, (2003), "Behavioral Evidence on the Effects of Principles-Based and Rules-Based Standards", Accounting Horizons, 17 (1), PP. 91-104.

Sanyaolu, O, A. Iyoha, F, O. & Ojeka, S, (2017), "International Financial Reporting Standards Adoption and Earnings of Quoted Banks in Nigeria", International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 7 (1), PP. 279-284.

Schipper, K, (2003), "Principles Based Accounting Standards.Accounting Horizons", 17 (1).

